



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,965	10/01/2003	Wanshi Chen	4740-212	8121

24112 7590 10/02/2006

COATS & BENNETT, PLLC
P O BOX 5
RALEIGH, NC 27602

EXAMINER

KARIKARI, KWASI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/676,965

Applicant(s)

CHEN ET AL.

Examiner

Kwasi Karikari

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/24/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 05/26/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Gilhousen et al., (U.S. 5,625,876).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 01/24/2005 is in compliance with the provision of 37 CFR 1.97, has been considered by the Examiner, and made of record in the application file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,4,7,10,12,13,17,18,19 and 22 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilhousen et al., (U.S. 5,625,876), (hereinafter Gilhousen).

Regarding **claims 1 and 10**, Gilhousen discloses a method of improving reverse link communications at a Radio Base Station (RBS) providing a plurality of radio sectors (see col. 8, lines 35-42), the method comprising:

RBS for mobile stations served by the RBS based on assigning one or more additional reverse links from remaining sectors of the RBS if a reverse link is assigned to a mobile station from a serving sector of the RBS (see col. 8, line 51- col. 9, line 21); and

combining reverse link signals from the assigned reverse links to obtain a combined reverse link signal for the mobile station (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56); but fails specifically to disclose “forcing always-softer reverse link handoff conditions”.

However, Gilhousen does mention softer handoff conditions where mobile unit simultaneously communicates and monitors the pilot signals in multiple sectors of the base station X (see col. 8, line 51- col. 9, line 21; i.e., the communicating and monitoring include signal pilots from multiple sectors of the base station).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Gilhousen achieving a system whereby signals from sectors of common base station are combined to provide an improved system performance (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

Regarding **claim 17**, Gilhousen discloses method of improving reverse link communications at a Radio Base Station (RBS) having a plurality of radio sectors (see col. 8, lines 35-42), the method comprising:

selecting a first sector of the RBS as a serving sector for a mobile station and assigning forward and reverse links to the mobile station at the serving sector (see col. 8, line 51- col. 9, line 21);

selectively handoff condition for the mobile station at the RBS by assigning one or more additional reverse links to the mobile station at one remaining sectors of the RBS (see col. 8, line 51- col. 9, line 21); and

combining the reverse link signals from the mobile station from the assigned reverse links to form a combined reverse link signal (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56); but fails specifically to disclose “forcing always-softer reverse link handoff conditions”.

However, Gilhousen does mention softer handoff conditions where mobile unit simultaneously communicates and monitors the pilot signals in multiple sectors of the base station X (see col. 8, line 51- col. 9, line 21; i.e., the communicating and monitoring include signal pilots from multiple sectors of the base station).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Gilhousen achieving a system whereby signals from sectors of common base station are combined to provide an improved system performance (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

Regarding **claims 3 and 12**, as recited in claims 1 and 10, Gilhousen discloses that the method further comprising assigning the one or more additional reverse links irrespective of whether the corresponding sectors are suitable for forward link assignments to the mobile station (= base station establishes the availability of resources in sector beta which is candidate set; and sector alpha is the active set, see col. 8, line 51- col. 9, line 21).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Gilhousen achieving a system whereby signals from sectors of common base station are combined to provide an improved system performance (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

Regarding **claims 4 and 13**, as recited in claims 1 and 10, Gilhousen discloses that the method further comprising assigning the one or more additional reverse links irrespective of whether the corresponding sectors are included in a current active set of the mobile station.(= base station establishes the availability of resources in sector beta which is candidate set; and sector alpha is the active set, see col. 8, line 51- col. 9, line 21).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Gilhousen achieving a system whereby signals from sectors of common base station are combined to provide an improved system performance (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

Regarding **claims 7 and 22**, as recited in claims 1 and 17, Gilhousen discloses that the method further comprising causing the mobile station to reduce a reverse link transmit power to improved reception quality of the combined reverse link signal (= power adjustment command for the mobile unit is created by the controller from the estimate signal strengths of each element 316A-316N, see col. 5, lines 42-50; col. 7, lines 60-65 and Fig. 2).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Gilhousen achieving a system whereby signals from sectors of common base station are combined to provide an improved system performance (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

Regarding **claim 18**, as recited in claim 17, Gilhousen discloses that the method further comprising transmitting the combined reverse link signal over a backhaul link to a supporting Base Station Controller (BSC) (= combined signal from the base station may be send to the communication system controller (see col. 10, lines 49-56).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Gilhousen achieving a system whereby signals from sectors of common base station are combined to provide an improved system performance (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

Regarding **claim 19**, as recited in claim 17, Gilhousen discloses that the method further comprising making forward link assignments independently of assigning the one or more additional reverse links to the mobile station (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Gilhousen achieving a system whereby signals from sectors of common base station are combined to provide an improved system performance (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and col.10, lines 24-56).

5. Claims 2,9,11,16 and 24 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilhousen in view of Nakano et al., (6,011,787), (hereinafter Nakano).

Regarding **claims 2 and 11**, as recited in claims 1 and 10, Gilhousen discloses the method, wherein combining reverse link signals from the assigned reverse links to obtain a combined reverse link signal for the mobile station (see col. 8, lines 35-42 and

col.10, lines 24-56); but fails to disclose that the combination process comprises “performing maximum ratio combining of the reverse link signals”.

However, Nakano teaches the “performing maximum ratio combining of the reverse link signals” (see col. 8, lines 41-50).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nakano with the system of Gilhousen for the benefit of achieving a system whereby maximal ratio combining among sectors is use to achieve higher compositional gain (see col. 2, lines 1-12 and lines 57-62).

Regarding **claims 9, 16 and 24**, as recited in claims 1,10 and 17, Gilhousen but fails to disclose increasing a finger search window used by RAKE receiver radio circuits at the RBS

However, Nakano teaches that RAKE receiver 59 carries out maximal radio combining of outputs (see col. 7, line 26- col. 8, line 50).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nakano with the system of Gilhousen for the benefit of achieving a system whereby maximal ratio combining among sectors is use to achieve higher compositional gain (see col. 2, lines 1-12 and lines 57-62).

6. Claims 5,6,8,14,15,20,21 and 23 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilhousen in view of Tiedemann JR. et al., (20020154610 A1), (hereinafter Tiedemann).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claims 5 and 14**, as recited in claims 1 and 10, Gilhousen fails to teach that the method comprises: determining whether any reverse link supplemental channel (R-SCH) is assigned to the mobile station; a R-SCH is assigned to the mobile station.

However, Tiedemann discloses that mobile station request for reverse supplementary channel (R-SCH) from the base station when the mobile station has packet data to be sent, and the reverse supplemental channel (R-SCH) for transmission is possible after the request has been granted to the mobile station (see Page 6, line 0068).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tiedemann with Gilhousen's for the benefit of achieving a system that is capable of using R-SCH to transmit packet data to the base station during a communication.

Regarding **claims 6 and 15**, as recited in claims 5 and 14, Gilhousen fails to teach reverse link "fundamental channel (R-FCH) assigned to the mobile station.

However, Tiedemann discloses that the mobile station could use reverse fundamental channel (R-FCH) to request reverse supplemental (R-SCH) from the base station (see Page 6, line 0068).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tiedemann with Gilhousen's for the benefit of achieving a system that is capable of using R-SCH to transmit packet data to the base station during a communication.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 8**, as recited in claim 7, Gilhousen fails to teach that the method comprises causing the mobile station to reduce a transmit gain of a reverse link supplemental channel signal transmitted by the mobile station to the RBS on the assigned reverse links.

However, Tiedemann discloses various ways to control the reverse supplementary channel transmit power (see Page 9, lines 0097-0099).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tiedemann with Gilhousen's for the benefit of achieving a system that is capable of controlling the R-SCH transmit power without terminating communication session between base station and the mobile station.

Regarding **claim 20**, as recited in claim 17, Gilhousen fails to teach that the method comprises; reverse link supplemental channels (R-SCHs) are being used for the mobile station.

However, Tiedemann teaches that mobile station requests for reverse supplementary channel (R-SCH) from the base station when the mobile station has packet data has to be sent, and the reverse supplementary channel (R-SCH) for transmission is possible after the request has been granted to the mobile station (see Page 6, line 0068).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tiedemann with Gilhousen's for the benefit of achieving a

Art Unit: 2617

system that is capable of using R-SCH to transmit packet data to the base station during a communication.

Regarding **claim 21**, as recited in claim 20, Gilhousen fails to teach reverse link fundamental channel (R-FCH) associated with the mobile station.

Tiedemann discloses that the mobile station could use reverse fundamental channel (R-FCH) to request reverse supplemental (R-SCH) from the base station (see Page 6, line 0068).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tiedemann with Gilhousen for the benefit of achieving a system that is capable of using the reverse fundamental channel (R-FCH) to send information to the base station.

Regarding **claim 23**, as recited in claim 22, Gilhousen fails to teach that the method comprises causing the mobile station to reduce a transmit gain of a reverse link supplemental channel signal transmitted by the mobile station to the RBS on the assigned reverse links.

However, Tiedemann discloses various ways to control the reverse supplementary channel transmit power (see Page 9, lines 0097-0099).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tiedemann with Gilhousen's for the benefit of achieving a

system that is capable of reducing R-SCH transmit power without terminating communication session between base station and the mobile.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Newson et al., (6,320,898) teaches a CDMA pseudo-smart antenna selection.

Padovani (U.S 6,411,799) teaches a method and apparatus for providing ternary power control in communication system.

Damnjanovic et al., (U.S 2003050084 A1) teaches a reverse link power control in 1XEV-DV systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 am - 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 2617

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Kwasi Karikari
Patent Examiner.


ERIKA A. GARY
PRIMARY EXAMINER